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**Coding Qualitative Data Response**

Hi Dom,

Great post! I agree with you that coding is the process of evaluating qualitative research data by studying each component to determine what it signifies in the larger context before coherently reassembling the data. For qualitative investigations, the coding procedure is helpful since it may assist the researcher in comprehending the material and then converting it into analytical data for use in the study. It allows a researcher to link the data gathering step of a study to the data analysis stage. Within the grounded theory, coding is also the primary analytic approach; this implies that the study must ask analytical questions of the data, classify the data with a code, and utilize the code to sort and understand what is happening in the study. For the researcher, it is creative labor since it allows them to filter through the interview material and select how to code it (Locke et al., 2020). As a result, making sense of data that is received in an unstructured fashion and then sorted into something intelligible is a successful technique.

Although coding has a lot of advantages in qualitative research, several dangers may arise when coding interviews. Some of the challenges may include a researcher believing in the similarity of coding in different perspectives. I agree that replicating a previous code before looking at the necessary changes may fail the codes. Codes are unique and therefore cannot be used universally. The researcher is the owner of the whole research process and should never conduct interviews without following their conscience in developing further analysis (Maher et al., 2018). In coding interviews, the researcher must sort out the information into getting the relevant information necessary for the research.
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Hi Samuel,

Well done! I concur with you that researchers use data coding to enhance their data collection from various data sources such as interviews, observations, questionnaires, and documents. Coding is also helpful in the interpretation and faster comprehension of the research data. Codes are also used to ease the reader's burden in identifying the main points in given research work. Codes are used to substitute the use of long-term descriptions and save on space in data collection. Generally, coding is the representation of information with unique figures or symbols. It is more helpful in categorizing the data as it is simpler to work with codes than the data itself (Parameswaran et al., 2020). Qualitative research is associated with collecting non-numerical data, which is hard to comprehend at a glance. Through coding, the comprehension of such data is made easier and faster.

Coding in qualitative research has many advantages to the writers and the readers; However, there are various dangers associated with the coding of interviews. The dangers vary from one aspect to another. For a single researcher starting with limited resources, transcribing numerous extended interviews is time-consuming; thus, data interpretation may vary depending on the researcher's understanding, expertise, and data, as indicated by the researcher. Researchers need to understand that data keeps on changing, and therefore there is no standard for coding the qualitative data. Researchers have, therefore, a responsibility to ensure that they identify the changes and adjust their codes accordingly. The coding software and hardware used may become another challenge as their differences might affect the coding process when used by different researchers (Williams & Moser, 2019). The coding of qualitative information needs observational application, which members might all the more effectively condemn, and account, which causes information fracture, as the moderator brings up.
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